Evidence for a New Resonance from Polarized Neutron-Proton Scattering
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Exclusive and kinematically complete high-statistics measurements of quasifree polarized $\bar{n}p$ scattering have been performed in the energy region of the narrow resonancelike structure $d^*$ with $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$,
\[ M \approx 2380 \text{ MeV}, \] and \[ \Gamma \approx 70 \text{ MeV} \] observed recently in the double-pionic fusion channels \( pn \to d\pi^0\pi^0 \) and \( pn \to d\pi^+\pi^- \). The experiment was carried out with the WASA detector setup at COSY having a polarized deuteron beam impinged on the hydrogen pellet target and utilizing the quasifree process \( dp \to np + p_{\text{spectator}} \). This allowed the \( np \) analyzing power, \( A_y \), to be measured over a broad angular range. The obtained \( A_y \) angular distributions deviate systematically from the current SAID SP07 NN partial-wave solution. Incorporating the new \( A_y \) data into the SAID analysis produces a pole in the \( ^3D_3 - ^3G_3 \) waves in support of the \( d^* \) resonance hypothesis.
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\[ p_{\text{spectator}} \] with large cross sections, the trigger was solely requesting one hit in the first layer of the forward range hodoscope. This hit could originate from either a charged particle or a neutron. For the case of quasifree \( np \) scattering, this defines three event classes, each having the spectator proton appearing in the forward detector: (i) scattered proton detected in the forward detector, with the scattered neutron being unmeasured, covering \( 132^\circ < \Theta_{n}^{cm} < 178^\circ \), and (iii) scattered proton detected in the central detector, with the neutron being unmeasured, covering the angular range \( 30^\circ < \Theta_{n}^{cm} < 41^\circ \). Combining events, nearly the full range of neutron scattering angles could be covered.

Since, through the use of the inverse kinematics, the spectator proton is in the beam particle, the deuteron, the spectator is very fast. This allows its detection in the forward detector. By reconstruction of its kinetic energy and its direction the full four momentum of the spectator proton has been determined.

Similarly, the four momentum of the actively scattered proton has been obtained from its track information in either the forward or central detector (in the latter case, the energy information was not retrieved).

Therefore, we have reconstructed the full event, including the four momentum of the unmeasured neutron, and even have one overconstraint in the subsequent kinematic fit, when the neutron has not been measured explicitly.

In the case where the neutron has been detected by a hit in the calorimeter [composed of 1012 CsI(Na) crystals] of the central detector—associated with no hit in the preceding plastic scintillator barrel, the directional information of the scattered neutron has also been obtained. Therefore, these events have undergone a kinematic fit with two overconstraints.

In order to avoid a distortion of the beam polarization, the magnetic field of the solenoid in the central detector was switched off. The measurements were carried out with cycles of the beam polarization “up”, “down”, and unpolarized (originating from the same polarized source), where “up” and “down” refers to a horizontal scattering plane. We verified that the beam, originating from the polarized source, indeed was unpolarized when using it in its “unpolarized” mode. This was accomplished by comparing the azimuthal angular dependence of the scattered events to that obtained through the use of a conventional unpolarized source.

The magnitude of the beam polarization was determined and monitored by \( dp \) elastic scattering, which was measured in parallel by detecting the scattered deuteron in the forward detector as well as the associated scattered proton in the central detector. The vector and tensor components of

Introduction.—Recent exclusive and kinematically complete measurements of the basic double-pionic fusion reactions \( pn \to d\pi^0\pi^0 \) and \( pn \to d\pi^+\pi^- \) revealed a narrow resonancelike structure in the total cross section [1–3] at a mass \( M \approx 2380 \text{ MeV} \) with a width of \( \Gamma \approx 70 \text{ MeV} \), which is consistent with a \( I(J^P) = 0(3^+) \) assignment [2]. Additional evidence for this structure has recently been found in the \( pn \to pp\pi^0\pi^- \) reaction [4], where it was denoted by \( d^* \), following the notation associated with the so-called “inevitable dibaryon” [5].

If the observed resonancelike structure truly constitutes an \( s \)-channel resonance in the neutron-proton system, then it must be seen in the observables of elastic \( np \) scattering. In Ref. [6], this resonance effect in \( np \) scattering has been estimated. There it was shown that a noticeable variation in the observables of elastic \( np \) scattering, which was measured over a broad angular range.

For the analyzing power, there exist data only below and above the resonance region. These data sets, at \( T_n = 1.095 \text{ GeV} (\sqrt{s} = 2.36 \text{ GeV}) \) [7,8] and \( T_n = 1.27 \text{ GeV} (\sqrt{s} = 2.43 \text{ GeV}) \) [9,10], exhibit very similar angular distributions. This gap in the existing measurements of \( A_y \) has motivated the present Letter.

Experiment.—We have measured the energy dependence of polarized \( np \) elastic scattering in the quasifree mode. The experiment was carried out with the WASA detector [11,12] at COSY (FZ Jülich), using a polarized deuteron beam with an energy of \( T_D = 2.27 \text{ GeV} \) impinging on the WASA hydrogen pellet target. With this setup, a full energy coverage of the conjectured resonance was obtained. Note that we observe here the quasifree scattering process \( dp \to np + p_{\text{spectator}} \) in inverse kinematics, which allows a detection of the fast spectator proton in the forward detector of WASA.

Since we deal here with events originating from channels with large cross sections, the trigger was solely requesting one hit in the first layer of the forward range hodoscope. This hit could originate from either a charged particle or a neutron. For the case of quasifree \( np \) scattering, this defines three event classes, each having the spectator proton appearing in the forward detector: (i) scattered proton and scattered neutron both detected in the central detector, covering the neutron angle region \( 31^\circ < \Theta_{n}^{cm} < 129^\circ \), (ii) scattered proton detected in the forward detector, with the scattered neutron being unmeasured, covering \( 132^\circ < \Theta_{n}^{cm} < 178^\circ \), and (iii) scattered proton detected in the central detector, with the neutron being unmeasured, covering the angular range \( 30^\circ < \Theta_{n}^{cm} < 41^\circ \). Combining events, nearly the full range of neutron scattering angles could be covered.

Since, through the use of the inverse kinematics, the spectator proton is in the beam particle, the deuteron, the spectator is very fast. This allows its detection in the forward detector. By reconstruction of its kinetic energy and its direction the full four momentum of the spectator proton has been determined.

Similarly, the four momentum of the actively scattered proton has been obtained from its track information in either the forward or central detector (in the latter case, the energy information was not retrieved).

Therefore, we have reconstructed the full event, including the four momentum of the unmeasured neutron, and even have one overconstraint in the subsequent kinematic fit, when the neutron has not been measured explicitly.

In the case where the neutron has been detected by a hit in the calorimeter [composed of 1012 CsI(Na) crystals] of the central detector—associated with no hit in the preceding plastic scintillator barrel, the directional information of the scattered neutron has also been obtained. Therefore, these events have undergone a kinematic fit with two overconstraints.

In order to avoid a distortion of the beam polarization, the magnetic field of the solenoid in the central detector was switched off. The measurements were carried out with cycles of the beam polarization “up”, “down”, and unpolarized (originating from the same polarized source), where “up” and “down” refers to a horizontal scattering plane. We verified that the beam, originating from the polarized source, indeed was unpolarized when using it in its “unpolarized” mode. This was accomplished by comparing the azimuthal angular dependence of the scattered events to that obtained through the use of a conventional unpolarized source.

The magnitude of the beam polarization was determined and monitored by \( dp \) elastic scattering, which was measured in parallel by detecting the scattered deuteron in the forward detector as well as the associated scattered proton in the central detector. The vector and tensor components of
the deuteron beam were obtained by fitting our results, for the vector and tensor analyzing power, to those obtained previously at ANL [13] for $T_d = 2.0$ GeV and more recently, at COSY-ANKE [14] at $T_d = 2.27$ GeV. As a result, we obtained beam polarizations of $P_x = 0.67(2)$, $P_z = 0.65(2)$ for “up” and $P_z = -0.45(2)$, $P_x = 0.17(2)$ for “down”. The vector polarization of the beam, for quasifree scattering, has been checked by quasifree $pp$ scattering. This was also measured in parallel by detecting one of the protons in the forward detector and the other one in the central detector, in addition, checking their angular correlation for elastic events. Our results for the quasifree $pp$ analyzing power are in quantitative agreement both with the EDDA measurements [15] of free $pp$ scattering and with the current SAID phase shift solution SP07 [16].

Since we have measurements with spin “up”, “down”, and unpolarized, the vector analyzing power can be derived in three different ways, by using each two of the three spin orientations. All three methods should give identical results. Differences may be taken as an estimate of systematic uncertainties which are added quadratically to the statistical ones to give the total uncertainties plotted in Figs. 1, 2, and 4.

The momentum distribution of the observed spectator proton, in the elastic $np$ scattering process, agrees with Monte Carlo simulations of the proton momentum distribution in the deuteron filtered by the acceptance of the WASA detector. In order to assure a quasifree process, we omit events with spectator momenta larger than 0.16 GeV/c (in the deuteron rest system) as done in previous work [2,3].

Results and Discussion.—Due to the Fermi motion of the nucleons bound in the beam deuteron, the measurement of the quasifree $np$ scattering process covers a range of energies in the $np$ system. Meaningful statistics could be collected for the range of $np$ center-of-mass energies $2.36 < \sqrt{s} < 2.41$ GeV corresponding to $T_n = 1.10–1.20$ GeV. First, we show the data (solid circles) in Fig. 1 without selecting specific $np$ center-of-mass energies, i.e., without accounting for the spectator momentum. Hence, this data set corresponds to the weighted average over the covered interval of $\sqrt{s}$. The solid line represents the current SAID SP07 partial-wave solution [16], whereas dashed and dotted lines give the results of revised SAID partial-wave analyses, including the WASA dataset, as described below. Next, we have taken the measured spectator four momentum into account and constructed the effective $\sqrt{s}$ for each event. We, thus, obtained angular distributions sorted into six $\sqrt{s}$ bins, two of which are shown in Fig. 2 as examples. All of our data deviate strikingly from the SP07 solution.

As a test, the present $A_y$ data set was included in the SAID database and the phenomenological approach used in generating the $NN$ partial-wave solution, SP07 [16], was retained. Here, we first considered whether the existing form was capable of describing these new $A_y$ measurements. One advantage of this approach is that the employed Chew-Mandelstam $K$ matrix can produce a pole in the

![FIG. 1 (color online). Angular distribution of the $np$ analyzing power without consideration of the spectator momentum, corresponding to a weighted average over the measured interval $\sqrt{s} = 2.367–2.403$ GeV ($T_n = 1.108–1.197$ GeV) with a centroid at $\sqrt{s} = 2.377$ GeV. The results from this Letter are shown as solid circles with error bars including both statistical and systematic uncertainties. The solid line represents the SAID SP07 phase shift prediction [16], whereas the dashed (dotted) line gives the result of the new weighted (unweighted) SAID partial-wave solution (see text).](https://example.com/figure1.png)

![FIG. 2 (color online). Notation as in Fig. 1, but for $\sqrt{s} = 2.367$ GeV (top) and 2.403 GeV (bottom) corresponding to $T_n = 1.11$ and 1.20 GeV. The full symbols denote results from this Letter taking into account the spectator four-momentum information. For the meaning of the curves, see Fig. 1.](https://example.com/figure2.png)
complex energy plane without the explicit inclusion of a $K$-matrix pole in the fit form. Neither the existence of a pole nor the effected partial waves are predetermined. A detailed overview of this formalism is given in Ref. [22].

The fitted $A_y$ data were angular distributions at $T_{\text{Lab}}$ values of 1.108, 1.125, 1.135, 1.139, 1.156, 1.171, and 1.197 GeV. A first attempt to fit this dataset started from the functional form of the current SP07 fit, and only varied the associated free parameters. A $\chi^2$/datum of 1.8 was found for all angular distributions, apart from the one at 1135 MeV. This was fairly consistent with the overall $\chi^2$/datum given by the global fit of $np$ elastic scattering data to 2 GeV. However, the set at 1135 MeV contributed a $\chi^2$/datum of about 25, having better statistics and a wider angular coverage.

The fit parameters are expansion coefficients for the $K$-matrix elements, which are smooth in energy, either polynomials or basis elements having required left-hand cuts, as described in Ref. [22]. Failing to reproduce the 1135 MeV set, the fit form was scanned to find partial waves for which an added term in the $K$-matrix expansion produced the most efficient reduction in $\chi^2$. The addition of parameters and refitting resulted in a rapid variation of the coupled $3D_3$ and $3G_3$ waves in the vicinity of the problematic 1135 MeV data set.

Some weighting was necessary in this fit, as only a few angular points from the full set were determining the altered energy dependence. The fit was repeated with different weightings for the new $A_y$ dataset. Having found a better fit at 1135 MeV, a subsequent fit was produced without weighting. These, qualitatively similar, results are compared in the figures.

In Fig. 1, we plot the fit to the 1135 MeV angular distribution from the SP07 prediction (not including the new data), a weighted fit (errors decreased by a factor of 4), and an unweighted fit including the present dataset and using the new fit form.

Resulting changes in the $3D_3$-$3G_3$ coupled waves are displayed in Fig. 3. Here, the $3D_3$ wave obtained a typical resonancelike shape, whereas the $3G_3$ wave changed less dramatically. A search of the complex energy plane revealed a pole in the coupled $3D_3$-$3G_3$ wave. Other partial waves did not change significantly over the energy range spanned by the new data. Figure 3 also displays single-energy solutions, generated from the old SP07 fit. These discrete points are fits to data within narrow energy bins, allowing amplitude variations to produce a best fit to data, and are used to search for systematic deviations from the global fit [22]. In the $3D_3$ partial-wave plot near 1135 MeV, the new fit appears to agree with these single-energy results much better than SP07.

The fit repeated with different weightings for the new $A_y$ data resulted in a variation of the pole position and could be considered a minimal “error” on its value within the present fit form. In the weighted fits, a pole was located at (2392–137) MeV. The refit without weighting produced a pole with (2385–139 MeV) MeV. Together with a speed-pot determination we arrive at (2380 ± 10–140 ± 5) MeV as our best estimate for the pole position.

From the decomposition of the $np$ observables into partial-wave amplitudes [23], it follows that the resonance contribution in $A_y$ is proportional to the associated Legendre polynomial $P^3_3(\cos \Theta^m_n)$. $P^3_3$ is maximal at $\Theta^m_n = 31.1^\circ$ and minimal at $90^\circ$. Since at the latter angle...
Finally, we note that the new partial-wave solution improves also the description of total cross section data as well as polarization observables obtained at ANKE [28] in the resonance region. A full account of the new results will be given in an extended forthcoming paper.

We acknowledge valuable discussions with J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, A. Kacharava, and C. Wilkin on this issue. This work has been supported by BMBF, Forschungszentrum Jülich (COSY-FFE), the U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-99ER41110, the Polish National Science Centre (Grant No. 2011/03/B/ ST2/01847), and the Foundation for Polish Science (MPD).

Summary and Conclusions.—In conclusion, our exclusive and kinematically complete measurement of quasifree polarized \( np \) scattering provides detailed high-statistics data for the analyzing power in the energy range, where previously a narrow resonancelike structure with \( I(J^P) = 0(3^+) \) was observed in the double-pionic fusion to deuterium. A partial-wave analysis including the new \( np \) scattering data exhibits a resonance pole in the coupled \( 3D_3^1\) \( G_3 \) partial waves in accordance with the expectation of a \( d^* \) resonance structure. This structure has been associated with a bound \( \Delta\Delta \) resonance, which could contain a mixture of asymptotic \( \Delta\Delta \) [24] and six-quark, hidden color, configurations [25]. Though less exotic explanations cannot be excluded at the present stage, dibaryon systems matching the mass and width of this dibaryon candidate have been recently successfully generated within three-body [26] and quark model [27] calculations. It should be noted that earlier dibaryon candidates [22] were widely discounted due to their appearance near the \( \Delta N \) cut and the possibility of a pseudoresonance mimicking their behavior. Such complications do not arise here—though we note the existence of a nearby \( NN^\prime (1440) \) threshold. However, we are not aware of any mechanism by which the very broad Roper resonance could induce the narrow resonance structure considered here.