

Jagiellonian Symposium on Fundamental and Applied Subatomic Physics



# Critical overview of experimental results on kaonic clusters"

Laura Fabbietti Technische Universitaet Muenchen

HADES and KLOE-AMADEUS collaborations



# **Kaonic Cluster**

Ρ

 $\Lambda/\Sigma$ 







		Part of the A(1405	5) Resonance ?		K		
Theoretical Predictions			Binding Energy (BE): 10-100 MeV				
			Mesonic Decay (Γ <sub>m</sub> ): 30-110 MeV				
			Non-Mesonic Decay (Γ <sub>nm</sub> ): 4-30 MeV				
	Chiral, energy depende		lent				
		var. [DHW09, DHW08]	Fad. [BO12b, BO12a]	var. [BGL12]	Fad. [IKS10]	Fad. [RS14]	
BI	E	17–23	26–35	16	9–16	32	
$\Gamma_r$	n	40-70	50	41	34–46	49	
$\Gamma_r$	าฑ	4–12	30				
		Non-chiral, static calcu	ulations				
		var. [YA02, AY02]	Fad. [SGM07, SGMR07]	Fad. [IS07, IS09]	var. [WG09]	var. [FIK+11]	
BI	E	48	50–70	60–95	40–80	40	
Γr	n	61	90–110	45–80	40–85	64–86	
Γ <sub>r</sub>	าฑ	12			~20	~21	

# Experimental Results on ppK<sup>-</sup>







Example: DISTO analysis

Experimental data divided by Phase Space simulation Or a data sample divided by another

Eliane Epple, Laura Fabbietti. Apr 8, 2015. 9 pp. e-Print: arXiv:1504.02060 [nucl-ex]

# $\Lambda(1405)$ Doorway for the DISTO energies Excellenzcluster Universe



arXiv:1504.02060

X claim by DISTO for p+p at 2.85 GeV but not for 2.5 GeV Reason: small  $\Lambda(1405)$  cross-section at 2.5 GeV??

P. Kienle et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 183 (2012).



 $\sigma_{pK+\Lambda(1405)}(2.5GeV)/\sigma_{pK+\Lambda(1405)}(2.85GeV) = 0.23$ 

If the  $\Lambda(1405)$  argument holds true, one should see the X also at 2.5 GeV Even more than 23%, because of smaller phase-space!!

# **Deviation Spectra: the HADES Data**



Exzellenzcluster Universe

 $|\cos\theta_p| < 0.6$ 

пп

# The HADES experiment



# High Acceptance Di-electron Spectrometer **GSI**, Darmstadt





Beam Energy: 3.5 GeV

- Fixed-target Setup
- Full azimuthal coverage, 15°-185° in polar angle
- Momentum resolution  $\approx$  1% 5 %
- Particle identification via dE/dx & ToF

HADES Coll. (G. Agakishiev et al.), Eur. Phys. J. A41 (2009)

Total Number of exclusive Events: 21000

# The HADES Data Sample

Exzellenzcluster Universe





#### **HADES data**

13,000 events of pK<sup>+</sup> $\Lambda$ Background from wrong PID  $\approx 6\%$ Background from pK<sup>+</sup> $\Sigma^0 \approx 1\%$  WALL data 8000 events of pK<sup>+</sup> $\Lambda$ Background from wrong PID  $\approx$ 11.7% Background from pK<sup>+</sup> $\Sigma^0 \approx 3\%$ 

# **Deviation Spectra: the HADES Data**





# **Phase Space Model**

Exzellenzcluster Universe

пп



# **Kaonic Cluster**





J. Beringer Phys.Rev. D86 (2012)

# **Kaonic Cluster**





# The PWA Framework

 $A_{2b}^{\beta}(s_{K^{+}\Lambda}) = \frac{M\Gamma_{K^{+}\Lambda}}{M^{2} - s_{K^{+}\Lambda} - iM\Gamma_{tot}}$ 



### Bonn Gatchina PWA http://pwa.hiskp.uni-bonn.de/

A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, E. Klempt, V.A. Nikonov and A.V. Sarantsev Eur. Phys. J. **A** 34, 129152 (2007)

Notation in PDG	Old notation	Mass [GeV/c <sup>2</sup> ]	Width [GeV/c <sup>2</sup> ]	Γ <sub>ΛΚ</sub> /Γ <sub>Αll</sub> %
N(1650) $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	N(1650)S <sub>11</sub>	1.655	0.150	3-11
N(1710) $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$	N(1710)P <sub>11</sub>	1.710	0.200	5-25
N(1720) $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$	N(1720)D <sub>13</sub>	1.720	0.250	1-15
N(1875) $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$	N(1875)D <sub>13</sub>	1.875	0.220	4±2
N(1880) <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	N(1880)P <sub>11</sub>	1.870	0.235	2±1
N(1895) <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	N(1895)S <sub>11</sub>	1.895	0.090	18±5
N(1900) <sup>3+</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	N(1900)P <sub>13</sub>	1.900	0.250	0-10

Systematic variation of different N\* waves in the input of the PWA fit

### **PWA Model**





# **Deviation Spectra reloaded**





# **Test of the Null Hypothesis**

Exzellenzcluster Universe







These waves are included into the four best solutions of the PWA

$$2S+1L_{J}$$
WaveA:  $'p+p' \ ^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow \ 'ppK(2250) - K' \ ^{1}S_{0}$ 
WaveB:  $'p+p' \ ^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow \ 'ppK(2250) - K' \ ^{1}P_{1}$ 
WaveC:  $'p+p' \ ^{1}D_{2} \rightarrow \ 'ppK(2250) - K' \ ^{1}D_{2}$ 

```
Scanned masses:
2220 – 2370 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> (in steps of 10 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
Scanned widths:
30 MeV, 50 MeV, and 70 MeV
```



# Test of the Signal Hypothesis

Exzellenzcluster Universe



### ... Interferences



The minimum has to be found by the fit





<u>Measured total cross-section</u>:  $\sigma_{pK^+\Lambda} = 38.12 \pm 0.43^{+3.55}_{-2.83} \pm 2.67(p+p-error) - 2.9(background) \ \mu b$ .

Upper limit of ppK<sup>-</sup> Cross Section:

Г (MeVc <sup>-2</sup> )	Cross Section (µb)
0+	1.9 – 3.9
1-	2.1 – 4.2
2+	0.7 – 2.1

Production Cross Section  $\Lambda(1405)$ 

$$9.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7 + 3.3 - 1.0 \, \mu b$$

HADES coll. (G. Agakishiev et al.) Phys. Rev. **C 87**, 025201 (2013)

# **Incoherent Upper limits**

Exzellenzcluster Universe



The cross-section extracted from the DISTO analysis does not fit in the trend Coherent (calculated only by HADES with PWA for p+p at 3.5 GeV) and uncoherent upper li mits are rather high ~  $\mu$ b

New data are necessary to either observe the state or decrease the upper limit.

Exzellenzcluster Universe



Example: KLOE-AMADEUS analysis

Fits similar to the FINUDA analysis

# K<sup>-</sup> + C reactions at Daφne

KLOE and Pre-AMADEUS (C. Curceanu, L. Fabbietti, O. Vasquez-Doce, K. Piscicchia, A. Scordo , I. Tukanovic, H. Zmeskal ..)



- $K^{-}$  Momentum = 127 MeV/c
- $\sigma_p/p \sim 0.4$  MeV/c
- 96% geometrical acceptance
- Calorimeter for  $\gamma s: \sigma_m \sim 18 \text{ MeV/c}^2$
- Vertex resolution: 1 mm
- Gas: 90% He, 10% C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>

### **KLOE Experiment**



# K<sup>-</sup> + C Reactions at Daφne





- $\sigma_p/p \sim 0.4$  MeV/c
- •96% geometrical acceptance
- Calorimeter for  $\gamma s: \sigma_m \sim 18 \text{ MeV/c}^2$
- Vertex resolution: 1 mm
- Gas: 90% He, 10% C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>



### **KLOE Experiment**



Clear  $\Sigma^0$  signal and sound estimation of the background

# Fit results



#### 2NA= K- absorption on 2 nucleons QF: No final state interaction



# Fit results



### 2NA= K- absorption on 2 nucleons QF: No final state interaction



	yield / $K_{stop}^- \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sigma_{stat} \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sigma_{syst} \cdot 10^{-2}$
2NA-QF	0.075	$\pm 0.012$	+0.0025 -0.0031
2NA-FSI	0.16	$\pm 0.014$	+0.0028 -0.0032
Tot 2NA	0.23	$\pm 0.019$	+0.013 -0.004
3NA	0.19	$\pm 0.041$	+0.015 -0.025
Tot 3body	0.35	$\pm 0.043$	+0.011 -0.012
4NA + Uncorr. bkg.	0.43	$\pm 0.021$	+0.021 -0.024

# Inclusion of the kaonic bound state



Scan of several Width and Binding energy for the intermediate state:  $K^- + C \to ppK^- (\to \Sigma^0 + p) + X$ 

Slightly Improved  $\chi^2$  (from 1.31 to 1.27)



### **Pvalues and F-Test**





A statistical analysis including a kaonic bound state  $ppK^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + p$ delivers a better  $\chi^2$  (for  $\Gamma$ = 30 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> and BE= 45 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>) but the local pValues is o nly slightly different than the one obtained fitting the data without the kaonic boun d state.

Yield (ppK<sup>-</sup>) / K<sup>-</sup> stop =  $0.027 \pm 0.013 stat + 0.008 - 0.04 syst \cdot 10^{-2}$ 

# Conclusions

Exzellenzcluster Universe





# **Test of the Null Hypothesis**







$$p-value = \int_{\chi^2_{P,d}}^{\infty} P(\chi^2, Ndf) d\chi^2$$

 $\chi_P^2 = \frac{(m - \lambda)^2}{\lambda}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{m}_{i} \text{ measured events in bin i} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i} \text{ expected events in bin i} \\ \text{ according to the model} \end{array}$ 



# **Test of the Null Hypothesis**

Exzellenzcluster Universe



