Data selection criteria for determination of NEMA characteristics for J-PET detector

Monika Pawlik-Niedźwiecka

monika.pawlik@doctoral.uj.edu.pl

3rd Symposium on Positron Emission Tomography

Motivation

Comparison between J-PET prototype with current solutions available on the market.

It is required to check possibility of clinical applications.

It is required to define guaranteed quality level of measurements according to worldwide NEMA norms. Data selection criteria are necessary to determine NEMA performance characteristics of J-PET detector.

Preliminary results of spatial resolution.

Measurements with phantoms.

General information

Data: single ²²Na source placed in geometrical center of J-PET detector

Setup: styrofoam panel with marked position (0,0,0) and positions according to NEMA.

Time of measurement for single source: 1 minute

Activity of source: ~7601 kBq











1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns





- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm







- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm







- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range ± 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm







- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates

5cm





- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates







- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates
- minimal angular distance between two scintillators > 20°





- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates
- minimal angular distance between two scintillators > 20°





- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range ± 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates
- 5. minimal angular distance between two scintillators > 20°
- 6. Energy cuts estimation

done once on data from source inside collimator, measurements done for different positions of collimator along z axis



- 1. always two hits in time window: 4 ns
- 2. *z* coordinate of position of annihilation in range \pm 23 cm
- 3. *z* coordinate of place of interaction of γ with scintillator in range \pm 23 cm
- 4. LOR distance from geometrical center of J-PET detector always
 < 25 cm in x and y coordinates
- 5. minimal angular distance between two scintillators > 20°
- 6. Energy cuts estimation





6. Energy cuts estimation



Position [mm]

Drawing for each scintillator ID histogram TOT vs position of collimator along z axis





6. Energy cuts estimation



fRescaleFactorPerID=fMeanTOTPerID/fReference340keV

Estimation of cut for 200 keV

- d. fit parameters for 200 keV in model case (pol2): in ROOT P(x) = p[0] + p[1]*x + p[2]*x**2 p[0] = 17.6905 p[1]=-0.00141427p[2]=6.52106e-05;
- e. rescale model equation to my case (for all IDs, each ID individually):
 - p_id[0]=p[0]*fRescaleFactorPerID
 - p_id[1]=p[1]*fRescaleFactorPerID
 - p_id[2]=p[2]*fRescaleFactorPerID
- f. save to file **TOTCutsTable.txt**

id p_id[0] p_id[1] p_id[2] fMeanTOTPerID

lowerTOTCut < TOT < upperTOTCut

algorithm created by A. Strzelecki and P. Białas (MLEM- Maximum Likelihood Expectation Maximization)

parameters to be provided:

- --s-z sigma Δz along axis [m] (default: [= 0.015])
- --s-dl sigma $\Delta 1 \text{ [m]}$ (default: [= 0.06])

used values:

 \circ --s-z = 0.0185 \circ --s-dl = 0.114

number of iterations: 20 1 pixel = 4 mm system matrix dimension: 256 x 256 x 256







Data: single ²²Na source placed in positions according to NEMA

Time of measurement for single position: 3 hours

Activity of source: ~1134 kBq





Source position	Number of files
(0,1,0)	33
(0,1,-18.75)	24
(0,10,0)	31
(0,10,-18.75)	37
(0,20,0)	24
(0,20,-18.75)	29



Results of spatial resolution - position (0,1,0)









Results of spatial resolution - position (0,10,0)



Results of spatial resolution - position (0,10,-18.75)



z

y



24

Results of spatial resolution - position (0,20,0)



Results of spatial resolution - position (0,20,-18.75)



25

z

y

Results of spatial resolution

Position	Width at half maximum along x axis [cm]	Width at half maximum along y axis [cm]	Sigma along z axis [cm]	FWHM (2.3548σ) [cm]
(0,1,0)	0.8	0.4	0.8868	2.09 cm
(0,1,-18.75)	0.4	0.8	0.8558	2.02 cm
(0,10,0)	0.8	0.4	0.8826	2.08 cm
(0,10,-18.75)	0.4	0.8	0.8305	1.96 cm
(0,20,0)	0.8	0.4	0.9495	2.24 cm
(0,20,-18.75)	0.8	0.8	0.8799	2.07 cm

Thank you