

Precision measurements of hadronic contributions to muon anomaly with KLOE C. Bloise (INFN-LNF) on behalf of the KLOE-2 Collaboration

FNP

Foundation

UNIVERSITAS IAGELLONICA

CRACOVIENSIS

Outline

- Hadronic Vacuum Polarization contribution to a_u
- The KLOE results on the hadronic cross section from absolute measurements of ISR cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma(\gamma))$ from $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma(\gamma)/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma(\gamma))$ ratio

Search for U-boson with the $\mu\mu\gamma$ sample

The muon anomaly

- → Muon anomaly $a_{\mu} = (g_{\mu} 2)/2 = 1.16591790(65) \times 10^{-3}$ is dominated by
 - QED lepton-loop contributions, at 4-loops 1.1658471809 (15)x10⁻³
- The second-most important term comes for vacuum-hadron contribution

 - dominant contribution at the error
- ↗ The experimental result, from E821 at the BNL, is of 0.5 ppm precision



Hadron vacuum polarization

- Hadron vacuum polarization, HVP, is relevant for precision tests of the SM
- Prediction of the HVP contribution is obtained from the hadronic cross section weighted by kernel functions, integrated over s (dispersion integrals, DI)

$$\Delta v^{had} = \int_{s_{\min}}^{s_{\max}} \sigma_{had}^{0}(s) \cdot \mathbf{K}(s) ds$$

- Precision σ^0_{had} predictions from pQCD requires q² > 2 GeV². At lower energy, $\sigma^{0,data}_{had}$ is used
- For $a_{\mu} = (g_{\mu} 2)/2 = 1.16591790(65) \times 10^{-3}$ theoretical precision, of 6.5 10⁻¹⁰ is from HVP (5.6) and hadronic light-by-light scattering (3.9)



 $a_{\mu}^{HLO} = (692.4 \pm 5.6)10^{-10}$ [Jegerlehner, Phys.Rept. 477 (2009) 1]

Hadronic cross section

Contributions from the threshold region of hadron production is enhanced by kernel dependence on 1/s² and by the presence of ρ-resonance



- **7** 70% of the contribution from the region below 1 GeV^2
- Most recent hadronic cross section measurements at s< 1 GeV² have been obtained from
 - energy scan by Novosibirsk experiments, CMD-2 and SND
 - **7** radiative return by experiments at the ϕ and B –factories
- From radiative return it is possible to select hadronic final states of any invariant mass s_{had} < s_R
- Angular cuts are effective to obtain ISR-enriched samples. Corrections for residual FSR effects are obtained from precision MonteCarlo generators
- Both, photon-tagged and untagged samples have been used. Photon-tagged samples have been used to reach the dipion threshold running at the φ-factory C. Bloise - Krakow, June 4-6, 2013



ISR processes

The hadronic cross section $\sigma^{0,data}_{had}$ in the DI is obtained from ISR processes and the radiator function H

$$\frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi\pi\gamma)}{dM_{\pi\pi}^2} \bigg|_{ISR} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}^0(M_{\pi\pi}^2)}{s} H(M_{\pi\pi}^2;s)$$

Two KLOE measurements, PLB670,285 and PLB700,102

Alternatively, from the ratio of the ISR processes, $\pi\pi\gamma$ and $\mu\mu\gamma$,

$$\sigma^{0}_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}(M^{2}) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma)}{dM^{2}}}{\frac{d\sigma(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma)}{dM^{2}}}\sigma^{0}_{\mu\mu}(M^{2})$$
PLB720,336

The contribution to the muon anomaly from HVP (s < 1 GeV²) is $\Delta a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = \int_{s_{\min}}^{s_{\max}} \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}^{0}(s) \cdot K(s) ds$

The KLOE experiment

- The KLOE experiment, at the Daφne φfactory took data in 2001-2002 and 2004-2006
- 2.5 fb⁻¹ integrated at 1.02 GeV;
 250 pb⁻¹ at 1 GeV
- Excellent-quality data set for precision measurement on
 - CKM unitarity
 - QM, and CPT invariance;
 - CP in kaons;
 - QCD models based on ChPT;
 - isospin-violating decays for the measurement of the light quark masses ratio;
 - hadronic cross section for the calculation of HVP
 - γγ physics



New data taking, starting in June, to integrate 5 fb⁻¹ during 2013-15
 [G. Amelino-Camelia et al., EPJ C68, 619 (2010)]

Work in progress for

- DAFNE consolidation after the IP upgrade
- the KLOE upgrade with installation of IT, calorimeters at low angle, taggers for γγ physics

Event selection: LA- γ sample

PLB100 Pion tracks at large angles $50^{\circ} < \theta_{\pi} < 130^{\circ}$

Photons at large angles

 $50^{\circ} < \theta_{\gamma} < 130^{\circ}$

Photon is explicitly measured in the detector!

- Threshold region accessible
- Sizeable contribution from FSR and $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ (use off peak data)



Event selection: SA- γ sample

Pion tracks at large angles $50^{\circ} < \theta_{\pi} < 130^{\circ}$ Photons at small angles $\theta_{\gamma} < 15^{\circ}$ or $\theta_{\gamma} > 165^{\circ}$

Photon momentum from kinematics:

$$\vec{p}_{\gamma} = \vec{p}_{\text{miss}} = -(\vec{p}_{+} + \vec{p}_{-})$$

- High statistics for ISR photons
- Very small contribution from FSR
- Small background contamination



$\mu\mu\gamma$ selection

- **Z** Event selection requires two opposite-charge particles at large angle with undetected photon at small angle, $\cos(\theta_{uu}) > \cos(15^\circ)$
- π μμγ, ππγ, eeγ final states are separated by
 - kinematical constraints giving m_x

$$E - \sqrt{p_{+}^{2} + m_{x}^{2}} - \sqrt{p_{-}^{2} + m_{x}^{2}} = \left| \vec{P} - (\vec{p}_{+} + \vec{p}_{-}) \right|$$

 by additional PID based on time-of-flight and energy deposit in the calorimeter



Absolute ππγ cross section already measured



Data analysis

http://www.lnf.infn.it/kloe2/tools/getfile.php?doc_fname=K2PD-6.pdf&doc_ftype=docs

- Correction for Data/MC discrepancy on momentum reconstruction applied as a function of momentum and polar angle
- **Pure** $\pi\pi\pi$ data sample used; Tails of the m_x distribution correctly predicted
- **Residual background is evaluated with a fit to the** $\mu\mu\gamma$, $\pi\pi\gamma$, $\pi\pi\pi$ relative contributions to the m_x spectrum in the $\mu\mu\gamma$ region and the weights used for background subtraction



- The worst contamination of the $\mu\mu\gamma$ spectrum is at the ρ peak, from $\pi\pi\gamma$
 - Tail of the m_x distribution checked by two different procedures
 - With track-quality requirements, leading to a factor of two lower contamination
 - With a selection procedure based on the Likelihood instead of m_x



μμγ spectrum

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma(\gamma)}^{data}}{dM_{\mu\mu}^{2}} = \frac{\Delta N_{\mu\mu\gamma(\gamma)}^{sel} - \Delta N_{backg}^{fit}}{\Delta M_{\mu\mu}^{2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{sel} \int L \, dt}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma(\gamma)}^{data}}{d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma(\gamma)}^{MC,NLO}} = 0.998 \pm 0.001_{stat} \pm 0.011_{syst}$$

- μμγ spectrum is in agreement with predictions of NLO generator Phokhara
 [S. Actis et al., Eur.Phys.J. C66 (2010) 585]
- 1% level of accuracy reached



ππγ/μμγ spectrum



$\Delta^{\pi\pi}a_{\mu}$ from KLOE

- The results are consistent, all of comparable statistical errors, with systematics from different sources
- The error of the new measurement has negligible contribution from theoretical uncertainty and acceptance
- KLOE result from both, SA and LA measurements, covers ~70% of the total the Δ^{had,LO} a_µ with 1% precision,

Contribution to systematics %	$\Delta^{\pi\pi}$ a _μ , ratio, SA-γ	Δ ^{ππ} a _{μ,} abs, SA-γ	∆ ^{ππ} a _{μ,} abs, LA-γ
Background subtraction	0.6	0.3	0.5
f_0 + $\rho\pi$	negligible	negligible	0.4
Ω cut	-	-	0.2
Particle mass/PID	0.2	0.2	0.5
Tracking	0.1	0.3	0.3
Trigger	0.1	0.1	0.2
Acceptance	negligible	0.2	0.5
L3 Trigger	0.1	0.1	0.1
Luminosity	-	0.3	0.3
Total experimental	0.7	0.6	1.0
FSR treatment	0.2	0.3	0.8
Radiator H	-	0.5	0.5
Vacuum polarization	-	0.1	0.1
Total theoretical	0.2	0.6	0.9
Total systematics	0.7	0.9	1.1

$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = \int \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}^{0} (s) \cdot K(s) ds$$

$$Data \qquad \Delta^{\pi\pi} a_{\mu} \cdot 10^{10} \\ 0.35 < s < 0.85 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$PLB 720,336 \qquad \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)} / \sigma_{\mu\mu(\gamma)}, \text{SA-} \gamma_{\text{ISR}} \qquad 377.4 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.7_{\text{sys+th}} \\ PLB 670,285 \qquad \text{Abs.} \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}, \text{SA-} \gamma_{\text{ISR}} \qquad 379.6 \pm 0.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.3_{\text{sys+th}} \\ PLB 700,102 \qquad \text{Abs.} \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}, \text{LA-} \gamma_{\text{ISR}} \qquad 376.6 \pm 0.9_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.3_{\text{sys+th}} \\ \end{array}$$

Smax

C. Bloise - Krakow, June 4-6, 2013

Pion form factor

Pion form factor measures the dependence on s of the photon coupling to the dipion system, with $F_{\pi}(0)=1$

$$|F_{\pi}(s)|^{2} = \frac{3}{\pi} \frac{s}{\alpha^{2} \beta_{\pi}^{3}} \sigma_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}^{0}(s)(1 + \delta_{VP})(1 - \eta_{\pi})$$





Pion form factor in agreement with previous measurements, from the absolute hadronic cross section, using both, γ–untagged (SA-γ), and γ–tagged (LA-γ) analyses

Data comparison

• KLOE10

* KLOE12

0.65

0.625

0.6

• **KLOE10**

÷

KLOE12

50

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

0.575

- In the overlap region with the tagged-photon analysis, 0.35<s<0.85, pion form factor results are in full agreement
- The agreement is good also with the other e⁺e⁻ experiments, except for the region above the ρ peak, showing a deficit < 3%, negligible when weighted in the dispersion integral [M. Benayoun et al., arXiv:1210.7184 [hep-ph]]</p>



Summary on muon anomaly

- Discrepancy with experiment at 3.5σ level confirmed by the KLOE measurement of the ratio of cross sections ππγ / μμγ
- Previous tension between e⁺e⁻ and τ data reduced by 1σ [F. Jegerlehner et al., Eur.Phys.J. C71 (2011) 1632, ρ-γ treatment]



* Our extrapolation based on DHMYZ10

Searching for Dark-photon

- Some models of physics beyond the SM predict the existence of light neutral vector particles, U-boson, mediator of new gauge interactions under which ordinary matter is uncharged
- Motivated by astrophysical arguments, their mass is expected to be of order 1 GeV or lighter
- Coupling of SM particles is possible via kinetic mixing between, regulated by ε, expected to be of 10⁻³ or less



Using $\mu\mu\gamma$ spectrum for Dark-photon searches

- The spectrum of the μμγ sample has been used to search for low-mass Uboson decaying to μμ pairs
- WIMP Dark matter belonging to a secluded sector could imply the existence of a gauge boson with kinetic coupling to the SM photon, $\epsilon^2 = \alpha_D / \alpha \le 10^{-4}$ [N. Arkani-Hamed et al., PR D79, 015014 (2009)]
- At the fixed-target facilities, JLAB and MAMI-C, the U-boson search is based on electron-N scattering and U→ee decay
- At the ϕ -factory we can study:

 - $\mathbf{a} \quad ee \rightarrow \mathsf{U} \ \mathsf{d} \rightarrow \mathsf{H} \mathsf{d}$



KLOE-2 discovery potential in the μμγ sample, with 5 fb⁻¹ [L.Berze' et al. EPJ C71(2011)1680]

Upper limits from µµγ spectrum

Exploiting the precision reached on the $\mu\mu\gamma$ spectrum in the region 0.6<Vs<1 GeV a sensitivity on $\epsilon^2 \approx 10^{-6}$ has been reached with a preliminary analysis





UL on the events per bin vs M_U using the CL_s distribution

Exclusion plot

- The analysis of $\phi \rightarrow \eta$ ee is sensitive to the mass region (50<M_U < 400) MeV ϵ^2
- The results are published on PLB 720, 111
- In that region 60<M_U<200 MeV the results rule out the hypothesis of a Dark-photon as the explanation for the 3.5-σ discrepancy in the magnetic moment of the muon</p>
- Further work is in progress, increasing statistics of the μμγ sample, processing eeγ final state, looking at μμ+missing energy



Conclusions

- **7** The $\mu\mu\gamma$ spectrum has been measured with 1% precision
- From the bin-to-bin ratio of the $\pi\pi\gamma/\mu\mu\gamma$ spectra, we obtained a new measurement of the hadronic cross section $\sigma^{0}_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$
- The result confirms
 - the KLOE previous measurements and associated systematics, and the calculation of the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to the magnetic moment of the muon, showing a 3.5-σ discrepancy with the experimental result of BNL-E681
- We have searched for U-boson in the μμγ spectrum. No structures have been observed. The exclusion plot obtained in the region (0.6<M_U< 1.0) GeV, rules out ε² in the 10⁻⁶ range

Spares

Background:

Main backgrounds estimated from MC shapes fitted to data distribution in M_{Trk}

(ππγ/μμγ, πππ, **ee**γ)



 $0.84 < M_{\pi\pi}^2 < 0.86 \text{ GeV}^2 \chi^2/\text{ndof} = 179/258$

- Systematic error on $\mu\mu\gamma$ due to background~1% in the ρ peak c. Bloise - Krakow, June 4-6, 2013