Direct Test of time reversal and CPT symmetries with entangled neutral mesons



Antonio Di Domenico Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma and INFN sezione di Roma, Italy







Il Symposium on applied nuclear physics and innovative technologies September 24th-27th 2014, Jagiellonian University, KRAKÓW, POLAND

Time Reversal: introduction

•The transformation of a system corresponding to the inversion of the time coordinate, the formal substitution $t \rightarrow -t$, is usually called 'time reversal', but a more appropriate name would actually be **motion reversal**.



•Exchange of in <-> out states and reversal of all momenta and spins tests time reversal, i.e. the symmetry of the responsible dynamics for the observed process under time reversal t $\rightarrow -t$ (transformation implemented in QM by an antiunitary operator)

•Similarly for CPT tests: the exchange of in <-> out states etc.. is required.

Test of Time Reversal symmetry

•T-Violation exists in the Standard Model of electro-weak interactions

•CPT theorem => All local unitary field theories with Lorentz invariance have CPT symmetry

• Automatic connection between CP-violation and T-violation

•T and CPT described by ANTIUNITARY rather than unitary operators, introducing many intriguing subtleties.

•Even though CPT invariance has been experimentally confirmed, particularly in the neutral kaon system with stringent limits, the theoretical connection between CP and T symmetries does not imply an experimental identity between them.

•Time reversal symmetry can be tested e.g. in the case of

(i) T-odd observable for a non degenerate stationary state: e.g. electric dipole moment of neutron;

(ii) transition between stable particles: e.g. neutrino oscillations (iii) transition between unstable particles: e.g. K⁰ oscillations

Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry

•Only one evidence of T violation: Kabir asymmetry ('70), comparing a process with its T-conjugated one, i.e. $K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$ vs $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$ performed by the CPLEAR experiment (1998)

$$A_{T} = \frac{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) - P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) + P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}$$



τ

 K^0

 $\tau=0$

 π

Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry

•Only one evidence of T violation: Kabir asymmetry ('70), comparing a process with its T-conjugated one, i.e. $K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$ vs $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$ performed by the CPLEAR experiment (1998)

 $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$A_{T} = \frac{P(\overline{K}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{K}^{0}) - P(\overline{K}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{K}^{0})}{P(\overline{K}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{K}^{0}) + P(\overline{K}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{K}^{0})}$$

$$= 4 \Re \varepsilon$$
assumption: no CPT violation
in semileptonic decay:
$$\Re (y - x_{-}) = 0$$

$$\frac{T \text{ viol}}{\varepsilon = \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{2(\lambda_{s} - \lambda_{L})}} \xrightarrow{\text{CPT viol}} \delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2(\lambda_{s} - \lambda_{L})}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$A_{T}^{exp} = (6.6 \pm 1$$

A. Di Domenico II Symposium on applied nuclear physics and innovative technologies, September 24th-27th 2014, Krakow

Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry

- A direct evidence for T violation would mean an experiment that, considered by itself, clearly shows T violation INDEPENDENT and unconnected to the results for CP violation and CPT invariance
- Controversial interpretation of the CPLEAR result as "direct" test:
- L. Wolfenstein "It is known from the detailed analysis of the CP-violating effects that this mixing indeed violates T as expected from CPT invariance. Thus the question we ask is not whether T is violated, which is known, but a didactic question as to whether we now have direct evidence." "it is not as direct a test of TRV as one might like"
- •1) Remark: $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$ is a CPT-even transition, so $CP \equiv T$ in this case ! <u>CP and T cannot be distinguished (not independent)</u> T test: $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$ vs $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$ CP test: $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$ vs $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$
- 2) $A_T \propto \Re \varepsilon \propto \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S \Gamma_L$; if $\Delta \Gamma \sim 0$ the TRV effect vanishes (in B meson system $\Delta \Gamma \sim 0$: no TRV through $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{B}^0$ transition); decay plays an essential role => not negligible initial state interaction effects associated with $\Delta \Gamma \neq 0$
- L. Wolfenstein IJMP(1999), PRL (1999), Bernabeu PLB (1999), NPB (2000), H. Quinn (JPPS (2008); Bernabeu et al. JHEP (2012)

KLOE/KLOE-2 experiment at the Frascati φ-factory DAΦNE



A. Di Domenico II Symposium on applied nuclear physics and innovative technologies, September 24th-27th 2014, Krakow

$$|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \overline{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right]$$
• decay as filtering measurement
• entanglement -> preparation of state

$$\pi^{+} | \underline{v} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}^{0}} \underbrace{\Phi}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{K}^{0}}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{\Phi}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{K}^{0}}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{K}$$

$$\begin{split} |i\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[|K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle |\overline{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle |K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \Big] & \quad \text{-decay as filtering measurement} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[|K_{+}(\vec{p})\rangle |K_{-}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{-}(\vec{p})\rangle |K_{+}(-\vec{p})\rangle \Big] & \quad \text{-entanglement -> preparation of state} \\ &\pi^{+} \Gamma_{\underline{V}} & & & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0} & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0} & & \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0}$$









•EPR correlations at a ϕ -factory (or B-factory) can be exploited to study other transitions involving also orthogonal "CP states" K₊ and K₋ (K₁, K₂)

$$\begin{split} \vec{k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \vec{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\vec{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] & \text{-decay as filtering measurement} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|K_{+}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{-}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{-}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{+}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] & \text{-entanglement } -> \\ &= \operatorname{reparation of state} \\ \pi^{+} | \underbrace{\nabla} & \bigoplus & K^{0} & K \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \underbrace{K^{0}} & K \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \underbrace{K^{0}} & K \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \xrightarrow{K_{-}} & \text{reference process} \\ I(\pi\pi, l^{+}; \Delta t) = C(\pi\pi, l^{+}) \times P[K_{-}(0) \to K^{0}(\Delta t)] \end{split}$$

In general with f_X decayng before f_Y , i.e. $\Delta t > 0$:

$$I(f_{\bar{X}}, f_Y; \Delta t) = C(f_{\bar{X}}, f_Y) \times P[K_X(0) \to K_Y(\Delta t)]$$

with $C(f_{\bar{X}}, f_Y) = \frac{1}{2(\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L)} |\langle f_{\bar{X}} | T | \bar{K}_X \rangle \langle f_Y | T | K_Y \rangle|^2$

Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	${\rm K}^0 \to \bar{\rm K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K\to \bar K^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$
$K_+\to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\rm K}^0 \to {\rm K}$
$K\to \bar K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$

Conjugate= reference

Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$K^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{\bar{K}}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K\to \bar{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathbf{k}}_0 \setminus \mathbf{k}_0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$K_+ \to K^0$	$K^0 \rightarrow K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$
$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$K \rightarrow K$	\mathbf{K}_{+} \mathbf{K}_{+}	$K_{+} \rightarrow K_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$		$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$
$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \rightarrow K$		$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$			

Conjugate= reference

already in the table with conjugate as reference

Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$K^0 \rightarrow K^0$		$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0$	
$K^0 \rightarrow K_{\perp}$	$K_{\perp} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K_{\perp}$	$K_{\perp} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$
$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$
$\overline{\bar{K}^0 \to K^0}$	\mathbf{K}_0 \mathbf{K}_0	\mathbf{K}_0 \mathbf{K}_0	$\mathbf{\bar{K}_0}$ $\mathbf{K_0}$
$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}$	$\frac{1}{1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$		$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}^{'}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$		$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$
$K_+ \rightarrow K^0$	K ⁰ K	$K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	<u>k</u> 0 k
$K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$			
$K_+ \rightarrow K_+$	K K		
$K_+ \rightarrow K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$		$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$
$K \rightarrow K^0$	K ⁰ K	$K \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	K ⁰ K
$K \to \bar{K}^0$	K ⁰ K	$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^0$	
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$			K
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$		K K	K K

— • •				
Conjugate=	Reference	<i>T</i> -conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
reference	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}$
	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}$
	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \rightarrow K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
alua adustia dha	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
already in the	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{K}^0 \setminus \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0$	$\mathbf{K}_0 \setminus \mathbf{K}_0$	$\mathbf{\bar{k}}_0 \setminus \mathbf{k}_0$
conjugate as	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}}^0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^0}$
reference	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$		$K_+ \to K^0$
	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$	\mathbf{K}^{0} \mathbf{K}_{-}	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$
	$\overline{K_+ \to K^0}$	$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	<u><u>k</u>0 </u>
	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}$ $\bar{\mathbf{K}}_{+}$		\mathbf{K}^{0} \mathbf{K}_{+}
	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	K K		K K
Two identical	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$		$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$
conjugates for one reference	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	K ⁰ K	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{K}}^{0}$ \mathbf{K}
	$K\to \bar K^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{K}}^{0}$ K	$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^0$	\mathbf{K}^{0} \mathbf{K}
	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$			
	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$			K K

Conjugate=	Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
reference	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$ar{\mathrm{K}}^0 ightarrow ar{\mathrm{K}}^0$
	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{\bar{K}}^0$
	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
already in the	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	\mathbf{K}^0 $\bar{\mathbf{K}}^0$	\mathbf{K}^0 $\mathbf{\bar{K}}^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{k}}_0 \setminus \mathbf{k}_0$
table with conjugate as reference	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^0$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^0}$
	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	\mathbf{K}^{0} \mathbf{K}_{+}	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$		$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
	$\overline{K_+ \to K^0}$	$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	<u>ko</u> k
	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{K}}^0$ \mathbf{K}_{\pm}	\mathbf{H}_{+} \mathbf{H}_{0}	$\mathbf{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+$
	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$K \rightarrow K$	\mathbf{K}_{+} , \mathbf{K}_{+}	
Two identical conjugates for one reference	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$	\mathbf{V}_{+} \mathbf{V}_{-}	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$
	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$		$K \to \bar{K}^0$	K ⁰ K
	$K\to \bar K^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}}^{0}$ $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$	$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^0$	K ⁰ K

 $K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$

 $\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$

4 distinct tests of T symmetry

 \mathbf{V}

4 distinct tests of CP symmetry

4 distinct tests of CPT symmetry

 \mathbf{V}

 \mathbf{V}_{\perp}

 \mathbf{V}

T symmetry test

Reference		T-conjugate	
Transition	Final state	Transition	Final state
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$(\ell^+,\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^-)$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^+)$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$(\ell^-,\pi\pi)$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$(\ell^+,\pi\pi)$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^-)$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^+)$	${\rm K}^0 ightarrow {\rm K}$	$(\ell^-,\pi\pi)$

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathbf{K}^0(0) \to \mathbf{K}_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_+(0) \to \mathbf{K}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_2(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathbf{K}^0(0) \to \mathbf{K}_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_-(0) \to \mathbf{K}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_3(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathbf{K}}^0(0) \to \mathbf{K}_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_+(0) \to \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_4(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathbf{K}}^0(0) \to \mathbf{K}_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathbf{K}_-(0) \to \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0(\Delta t)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Any deviation from R_i=1 constitutes a violation of T-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva: NPB 868 (2013) 102

Any deviation from R_i =1 constitutes a direct evidence of T-symmetry violation



$$R_{1}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi; \Delta t)}{I(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{+}; \Delta t)} = R_{1}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi)}{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{+})}$$

$$R_{2}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0}; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^{+}; \Delta t)} = R_{2}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{+})}$$

$$R_{3}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi; \Delta t)}{I(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-}; \Delta t)} = R_{3}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi)}{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}$$

$$R_{4}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{+}, 3\pi^{0}; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^{-}; \Delta t)} = R_{4}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{+}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{-})}$$
In practice two measurable ratios with $\Delta t < 0 \text{ or } > 0$

$$R_{2}^{\exp}(-\Delta t) = \frac{1}{R_{3}^{\exp}(\Delta t)} = \frac{1}{R_{3}(\Delta t)} \times \frac{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}{C(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi)},$$

$$R_{4}^{\exp}(-\Delta t) = \frac{1}{R_{1}^{\exp}(\Delta t)} = \frac{1}{R_{1}(\Delta t)} \times \frac{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}{C(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi)}.$$

21



toy MC with L=10 fb⁻¹











Integrating in a Δ t region between 0 and 300 τ_{s} => stat. significance of 4.4, 6.2, 8.8 σ with L=5, 10, 20 fb⁻¹ (full efficiency)

NPB 868 (2013) 102

pros:

in the "plateau" region the impact of direct CP violation effects on the assumption of orthogonality of K+ and K- states has been evaluated => negligible

cons:

-in the "plateau" region one needs to measure the absolute value of R_i . Assuming no CPT violation in semileptonic decays:

Assuming no CPT violation in semileptonic decays:

$$\frac{C(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{+})} \simeq \frac{C(\ell^{+}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{-})} \simeq \frac{BR(K_{L} \to 3\pi^{0})}{BR(K_{S} \to \pi\pi)} \frac{\Gamma_{L}}{\Gamma_{S}} \equiv D.$$

$$R_{2}(\Delta t) = \frac{R_{2}^{exp}(\Delta t)}{D}$$

$$R_{4}(\Delta t) = \frac{R_{4}^{exp}(\Delta t)}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{-})}$$

- It is needed to measure the constant D with $\sim 0.1\%$ precision,

i.e. BRs and K_S , K_L lifetimes

-in the "plateau" region effect proportional to $Re(\epsilon)$

T test could be feasible at KLOE-2 @ DA Φ NE with L=O(10 fb⁻¹)

 $I_i(\Delta \tau) \sim e^{-\Gamma \Delta \tau} \{ C_i \cos(\Delta m \Delta \tau) + S_i \sin(\Delta m \Delta \tau) \}$

+C'_i cosh($\Delta\Gamma\Delta\tau$) + S'_i sinh($\Delta\Gamma\Delta\tau$) }

Direct T violation observed at BABAR in the B's with significance of 14 σ Babar coll. PRL 109 (2012) 211801



 $-1.37 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06$

 $1.17 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.11$

 $0.10 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.08$

=

Direct T violation observed at BABAR in the B's with significance of 14 σ Babar coll. PRL 109 (2012) 211801



CPT symmetry test

Reference		CPT-conjugate		
Transition	Decay products	Transition	Decay products	
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$(\ell^-, \pi\pi)$	${\rm K}_+ \to \bar{\rm K}^0$	$(3\pi^0, \ell^-)$	
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0})$	${\rm K}_{-} \to \bar{\rm K}^0$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^-)$	
$\bar{\rm K}^0 \to {\rm K}_+$	$(\ell^+, \pi\pi)$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$(3\pi^0, \ell^+)$	
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$(\ell^+, 3\pi^0)$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^+)$	

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$\begin{split} R_{1,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{+}(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{2,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{-}(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{3,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{+}(0) \to \mathrm{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{4,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{-}(0) \to \mathrm{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \end{split}$$

Any deviation from $R_{i,CPT}$ =1 constitutes a violation of T-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva: NPB 868 (2013) 102 A.D.D. PoS KAON13 (2013) 009











Conclusions

- •The neutral meson system is an excellent laboratory for the study of discrete symmetries.
- •By exploiting the EPR entanglement of neutral meson pairs produced at a φ -factory (or B-factories), it is possible to overcome some conceptual difficulties affecting previous tests of time reversal symmetry. It is possible to perform a direct test of the time reversal symmetry, independently from CP violation and CPT invariance constraints.
- In this conceptual framework theoretically very clean direct CPT tests in neutral kaon transitions could be also performed.
- The KLOE-2 experiment at the DAFNE collider could perform with an integrated luminosity of O(10 fb⁻¹) a statistically significant direct T symmetry test for the first time in the kaon sector, and a direct CPT symmetry test.