Il Syposium on applied nuclear physics, Kraków 26.09.2014 Universe in the light of LHC data



<u>Plan</u>

Standard Model Higgs: LHC data SM-like scenarios at LHC Higgs-portal to dark matter Temperature evolution of the Universe

Maria Krawczyk U. of Warsaw

in collaboration with I. Ginzburg, K. Kanishev (Novosibirsk U.), D.Sokołowska, G. Gil, B. Gorczyca (Świeżewska) J. Bogdanowicz (U. of Warsaw) Physique des Particules Cosmologie Physique Nucleaire Astrophysique Physique du Solide Astronomie Chimie-Biologie Geophysique Mecanique



$10^{-15} \ 10^{-12} \ 10^{-9} \ 10^{-6} \ 10^{-3} \ 1 \ 10^{3} \ 10^{6} \ 10^{9} \ 10^{12} \ 10^{15} \ 10^{18} \ 10^{21} \ 10^{24}$

fm pm nm µm mm m km Mm Gm Tm Pm Em





 \leftarrow atto,zepto,yocto(10⁻²⁴)









peta, exa, zetta, yotta

Discoveries of elementary particles flood' in years 1950-60, -



Structure of matter Molecules> atoms>nuclei>nucleons>quarks subquarks?? – probabbly no (confinement)



Forces: electro-mag, gravity, strong and weak strong (nuclear) between nucleons (exchange of pions) in nucleus range 10⁻¹⁵ m

strong (nuclear) fundamental (color)between quarks and gluons

weak (eg. decay of neutron), range < 10⁻¹⁸ m (point-like)

weak fundamental between quarks and leptons (exchange)

of W+,W-, Z with masses 80-90 GeV)

Fundamental particles in SM



STANDARD MODEL (SM)

- Description of the fundamental level
- quarks and leptons
- strong, <u>electromagnetic</u>, weak forces <u>electroweak</u> (EW)
- Symmetry as a basic principle –
- local (gauge) symmetry used to describe dynamics! SU(3) x SU(2) x U(1)
- carriers of interactions -> gauge bosons all should be massless (as well matter particle) ?! We need a special symmetry breaking ...(SSB)

Year 1964 -> LHC 4.07.2012 Higgs-like particle with mass125 -126 GeV observed by ATLAS+CMS (+Tevatron)

BROKEN SYMMETRIES, MASSLESS PARTICLES AND GAUGE FIELDS

BROKEN SYMMETRY AND THE MASS OF GAUGE VECTOR MESONS*

F. Englert and R. Brout Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium (Received 26 June 1964)

26.06.1964

P. W. HIGGS Tail Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Scotland

Received 27 July 1964

19 October 1964

27.07.1964

BROKEN SYMMETRIES AND THE MASSES OF GAUGE BOSONS

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Peter W. Higgs Tait Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland (Received 31 August 1964)

GLOBAL CONSERVATION LAWS AND MASSLESS PARTICLES*

G. S. Guralnik,[†] C. R. Hagen,[‡] and T. W. B. Kibble Department of Physics, Imperial College, London, England (Received 12 October 1964)

12.10.1964

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 16



2010 Sakurai Prize

... for "elucidation of the properties of spontaneous symmetry breaking in four-dimensional relativistic gauge theory and of the mechanism for the consistent generation of vector boson masses."



Ben Kilminster, ICHEP 2010

2013 NOBEL PRIZE IN PHYSICS François Englert Peter W. Higgs



The Nobel Prize in Physics 2013 François Englert and Peter W. Higgs

"for the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatomic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"

October 2013

Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism Spontaneous breaking of EW symmetry $SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(1)_{QED}$ **Standard Model**

 $V = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m^{2}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)$ Doublet of SU(2): $\Phi = (\phi^+, v + h + i\zeta)^T$ $v^2 = m^2 / \lambda$ (vev) Masses for W^{+/-}, Z (tree ρ =1), no mass for the photon Generation of mass of gauge boson: $M_w = g v$ Fermion masses via Yukawa int. (add. parameters) Higgs particle - spin 0, neutral, CP even h couplings prop. to masses for WW/ZZ, fermions only unknown was Higgs mass \leftrightarrow selfinteraction

Higgs particle-the missing particle of SM

The discovered ~125 GeV scalar has properties very close to predicted by the SM. But how close? Loop couplings ggh, $\gamma\gamma$ h, γ Zh sensitive to new physics (new even very heavy particles - nondecoupling effects)

Beyond SM (BSM) – only models with SM-like scenarios:

SM-like h and no other new particle seen are relevant.

1. The Higgs in the SM and beyond

There are major theoretical and experimental problems in the SM:

- does not incorporate masses for the neutrinos (there is no $u_{\mathbf{R}}$ in SM);
- does not explain baryon asymmetry (baryogenesis?) in the universe;
- does not incorporate the fourth fundamental interaction, gravity;
- ullet does not explain why μ^2 <0 and has too many (19!) free parameters.
- No real unification of the interactions:
- $3 \neq$ gauge groups with $3 \neq$ couplings,
- no meeting of the couplings in SU(5).
- No solution to the Dark Matter problem:
- 25% of the universe made by Dark Matter,
- no stable, neutral, weak, massive particle.



radiative corrections to M_{H} in SM with a cut–off $\Lambda\!=\!M_{NP}\!pprox\!M_{P}$

$$\Delta M_{\rm H}^2 \equiv -\frac{{\sf H}}{f} - \frac{{\sf H}}{f} \propto \Lambda^2 \approx (10^{18}\,{\rm GeV})^2!$$

M_H prefers to be close to the high scale than to the EWSB scale... Corfu, 5–6/09/2014 Higgs Physics – Abdelhak Djouadi – p.4/??



74% Dark Energy

Unification with gravitation

David Gross: The Coming Revolutions in Theoretical Physics

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AM7SnUlw-DU&feature=channel

running coupling constants



"Strenght" of gravity $F \sim M^2 \sim E^2$ i therfore is rising so fast for large E



LHC DATA

LHC, CERN, Genewa

Colliding beams of protons Goal: 7 TeV beams (up to now 3.5 and 4 TeV -> energy of collision 7 and 8 TeV) Beams –2800 bunches with10¹¹protonów Collisions of bunches every 25 ns

Large Hadron Collider – 4 experiments (detectors) ATLAS,CMS..

break till spring 2015

The Higgs Hunters @ the LHC



The Higgs is the new playground: Room for new experimental/theoretical ideas!! Remember: we have already ~1 Million Higgses produced at the LHC

deRoeck, 13.09.2014

Production and decay of Higgs particle at LHC



main decays

1) $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- 2) $H \rightarrow tau tau$
- 3) $H \rightarrow bb$

4)
$$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow IvIv$$

5) $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$

decay to γγ loop t, b,W...





ATLAS: Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$





CMS: Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



deRoeck, 13.09.2014

ATLAS: Higgs Mass



SM-like Overall ATLAS and CMS Results Higgs 17.07.14 μ +0.33 $\mu = 1.57$ 0.28 μ_{ZZ} +0.40 = 1.440.35 μ_{WW} $\mu = 1.00^{+0.32}$ ATLAS $\mu_{\tau\tau}$ $\mu = 1.4^{+0.5}$ [m_=125.5 GeV] μ_{bb} $\mu = 0.2^{+0.7}$ $\mu = 1.30^{+0.18}$ Combined fit -0.17 $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\mu = 1.126^{+0.259}$ 0.228 μ_{ZZ} $\mu = 1.003^{+0.317}$ -0.263 μ_{WW} +0.224u = 0.832CMS 0.224 $\mu_{\tau\tau}$ +0.286u = 0.912[m_=125.7 GeV] 0.263 μ_{bb} $\mu = 0.932$ 0.482 Combined fit -1 -0.5 0 0.5 **Total width** $< 4 \times SM$ (in SM 4,2 MeV) Ζ Decay to invisible particles BR (inv) < 0.37

HIGGS PORTAL TO DM



Dark Matter EVIDENCES

Morsolli, Sept. 2014

In 1933, the astronomer Zwicky realized that the mass of the luminous matter in the Coma cluster was much smaller than its total mass implied by the motion of cluster member galaxies:

Since then, many other evidences:



Rotation curves of galaxies



Gravitational lensing



Bullet cluster



Structure formation as deduced from CMB





Inert Doublet Model

 Φ_{s} as in SM (BEH)



Higgs boson h (SM-like)

 $\Phi_{\rm D}$ – no vev

$$\Phi_{D} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{+} \\ H^{+} i A \end{pmatrix}$$
(no Higgses!)

A scalars H+,H-,H, A no interaction with fermions

D symmetry
$$\Phi_{s} \rightarrow \Phi_{s} \quad \Phi_{p} \rightarrow \Phi_{p}$$
 exact \rightarrow
 \triangleright D parity
 \triangleright only Φ_{p} has odd D-parity
 \triangleright the lightest scalar stable - DM candidate (H)
 \triangleright the lightest scalar stable - DM candidate (H)
 \triangleright (Φ_{p} dark doublet with dark scalars)

IDM: An Archetype for Dark Matter, Lopez Honorez,...Tytgat...07

LHC phenomenology (Barbieri., Ma.. 2006)

Inert Doublet Model

SM-like h, $M_{h}^{2} = m_{11}^{2} = \lambda_{1} v^{2} = (125 \text{ GeV})^{2}$ Dark scalars

- masses depend on m₂₂²
- dark scalars D interact always in pairs!

Ma'2006, Barbieri 2006, Dolle, Su, Gorczyca(Świeżewska), MSc 2011 1112.4356, 1112.5086, Posch, 2011, Arhrib..2012

$$\begin{split} M_{H+}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}v^2 \\ M_{H}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5}{2}v^2 \\ M_{A}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2}v^2 \end{split}$$

D couple to V = W/Z (eg. AZH, H⁻W⁺H), not DVV! Quartic selfcouplings D⁴ proportional to λ_2 hopeless to be measured at colliders! (\rightarrow DM?) Couplings with Higgs: hHH ~ λ_{345} h H+H- ~ λ_3

IDM – scan *(B. Świeżewska 2012)*

Constraints: M_h=125 GeV (λ_1 =0.25) pert. vacuum stability, conditions for Inert I1 vacuum perturbative unitarity condition EWPT (other data) LEP (LHC) $S = 0.03 \pm 0.09$ $T = 0.07 \pm 0.08$ $\rho = 87\%$

 $M_h = 125 \,\text{GeV},$ $70 \,\text{GeV} \leqslant M_{H^{\pm}} \leqslant 800 \,\text{GeV}(1400 \,\text{GeV}),$ $0 < M_A \leqslant 800 \,\text{GeV}(1400 \,\text{GeV}),$ $5 \leqslant M_H < M_A, M_{H^{\pm}},$ $-25 \cdot 10^4 \,\text{GeV}^2(-2 \cdot 10^6 \,\text{GeV}^2) \leqslant m_{22}^2 \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda_2} M_h v \lesssim 9 \cdot 10^4 \,\text{GeV}^2.$ $0 < \lambda_2 \leqslant 10.$ narrow (wide) range condition for I_1

Inert Doublet Model with Mh=125 GeV

Analysis based on unitarity, positivity, EWPT constraints *Gorczyca'2011-12*

 $M_H \leqslant 602 \,\mathrm{GeV},$ $M_{H^{\pm}} \leqslant 708 \,\mathrm{GeV},$ $M_A \leqslant 708 \,\mathrm{GeV}.$

EWPT (pale regions)



 $m_{22}^2 = 0$

valid up to $|m_{22}^2| = 10^4 \text{GeV}^2$

$\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ decay rates of the Higgs boson

[Q.-H. Cao, E. Ma, G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 095011, P. Posch, Phys. Lett. B696 (2011) 447, A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, N. Gaur, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 095021, BS, M. Krawczyk, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 035019]

signal strength µ

narrow width approx

Swiezews

 $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ – 2-photon decay rate, $R_{Z\gamma}$ – $Z\gamma$ decay rate

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to h \to \gamma\gamma)^{IDM}}{\sigma(pp \to h \to \gamma\gamma)^{SM}} \approx \frac{\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{IDM}}{\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{SM}} \frac{\Gamma(h)^{SM}}{\Gamma(h)^{IDM}}$$

 $R_{Z\gamma}$ – treated analogously

- Largest contribution from gg fusion
- $\sigma(gg \to h)^{SM} = \sigma(gg \to h)^{IDM}$ (not true in other 2HDMs)

Two sources of deviation from $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1$:

• invisible decays $h \to HH$, $h \to AA$ in $\Gamma(h)^{IDM}$

• charged scalar loop in $\Gamma(h \to \gamma \gamma)^{IDM}$

Invisible decays

$$\Gamma(h) = \Gamma(h \to b\overline{b}) + \Gamma(h \to WW^*) + \Gamma(h \to \tau^+\tau^-) + \Gamma(h \to gg) + \Gamma(h \to ZZ^*) + \Gamma(h \to c\overline{c}) + \Gamma(h \to Z\gamma) + \Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma) + \Gamma(h \to HH) + \Gamma(h \to AA)$$
$$\Gamma(h \to HH) = \frac{\lambda_{345}^2 v^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{4M_H^2}{2}}}$$

- Controlled by: M_H , M_A , $\lambda_{345} \sim hHH$, $\lambda_{345}^- \sim hAA$
- Invisible decays, if kinematically allowed, dominate over SM channels.
- Plot for $M_A = 58 \text{ GeV}$, $M_H = 50 \text{ GeV}$



Charged scalar H^{\pm} loop

[J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 106 (1976) 292, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 30 (1979) 711 [Yad. Fiz. 30, 1368 (1979)]

$$\Gamma(h \to \gamma \gamma)^{IDM} = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 M_h^3}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \mathcal{A}^{SM} + \frac{2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 + m_{22}^2}{2M_{H^{\pm}}^2} A_0 \left(\frac{4M_{H^{\pm}}^2}{M_h^2}\right) \right|^2$$

- Constructive or destructive interference between SM and H[±] contributions
- Controlled by $M_{H^{\pm}}$ and $2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 + m_{22}^2 \sim \lambda_3 \sim hH^+H^-$
- Invisible channels closed $\Rightarrow H^{\pm}$ contribution visible





Invisible decay in IDM constraining coupling hHH

- *h* → *HH* invisible decay (*H* is stable)
- augmented total width of the Higgs boson, $\Gamma(h \rightarrow HH) \sim \lambda_{345}^2$



LHC:

- $Br(h \rightarrow inv) < 37\%$,
- $\Gamma(h)/\Gamma(h)^{\rm SM} < 4.2$

global fit:





[G. Bélanger, B. Dumont, U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion, S. Kraml, PLB 723 (2013) 340; ATLAS-CONF-2014-010; 2014; CMS-PAS-HIG-14-002]

DM DATA?

Direct & indirect detection experiments do not provide a coherent picture of Dark Matter.

"One should be aware, however, that this area of investigation is at present beset with large controversies, and one should allow the dust to settle before drawing strong conclusions in either directions."

Lars Bergstrom, Dark Matter Evidence, Particle Physics Candidates and Detection Methods, arXiv:1205.4882 [astro-ph.HE]



Relic density constraints on masses and couplings - OK Relic density constraints



 $0.1018 < \Omega_{DM} h^2 < 0.1234 \Rightarrow \lambda_{345}^{\min}, \lambda_{345}^{\max}$

Coannihilation possible for small (AH) splitting



- low DM mass $M_H \lesssim 10$ GeV, $g_{HHh} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.5)$
- medium DM mass $M_H \approx (40 160)$ GeV, $g_{HHh} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.05)$
- high DM mass $M_H \gtrsim 500 \text{ GeV}, g_{HHh} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$

Constraining Inert Dark Matter by $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and WMAP data M. Krawczyk, D. Sokolowska, P. Swaczyna, B. Swiezewska hep-ph/ **Relict DM density** 1305.6266 $\Omega_{DM}h^2 = 0.1126 \pm 0.0036.$ **JHEP 2013** LHC data : $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.65 \pm 0.24 (\text{stat})^{+0.25}_{-0.18} (\text{syst}),$ ATLAS CMS : $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.79^{+0.28}_{-0.26}$. For now: $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.17 \pm 0.27$ (ATLAS), $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.14^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ (CMS) $R_{\gamma\gamma} > 1$ DM mass only above 62.5 0.8 $R_{\gamma\gamma}=0.7$ **GeV** allowed 0.6

DM mass below 62.5 GeV allowed only if

 $R_{\gamma\gamma} < 1$

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05$

WMAP window for light H (DM)



Relict density for DM D. Sokołowska, 2013 with mass 62,64,...,80 GeV

 $M_H = (62, ..., 80)$ GeV, $M_{A,H^{\pm}} = M_H + \delta_{A,\pm}$



above 76 GeV asymmetry due to annihilation to gauge bosons

Low mass H – excluded by LHC!

$R_{\gamma\gamma}$ constraints on $\lambda_{345} \sim hHH$

R>0

0.04

0.02

-0.02

-0.04

[M. Krawczyk, D. Sokołowska, P. Swaczyna, BŚ, arXiv:1305.6266 [hep-ph], JHEP 2013]

 $M_H \lesssim 10 \,{
m GeV}, \quad M_A \approx M_{H^{\pm}} \approx 100 \,{
m GeV}$ $h \rightarrow AA$ channel closed, $h \rightarrow HH$ channel open



 $0.1018 < \Omega_{DM} h^2 < 0.1234 \Rightarrow |\lambda_{345}| \sim \mathcal{O}(0.5)$

• CDMS-II reported event:

 $M_H = 8.6 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow |\lambda_{345}| \approx (0.35 - 0.41)$

• $R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.7 \Rightarrow |\lambda_{345}| \lesssim 0.02 \Rightarrow$

Low DM mass excluded

Sokołowska

Medium DM mass (1) - HH channel open

 $50 \,\text{GeV} < M_H < M_h/2 \,\text{GeV}, \quad M_A = M_{H^{\pm}} = 120 \,\text{GeV}$



Red bound: $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ in agreement with WMAP Black line: $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.7$

• $R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.7 \Rightarrow |\lambda_{345}| \lesssim 0.02 \Rightarrow M_H \lesssim 53 \,\text{GeV}$ excluded • $53 \,\text{GeV} \lesssim M_H \lesssim M_h/2 \Rightarrow R_{\gamma\gamma} \approx (0.8 - 0.9)$

Invisible channels closed

Intermediate and heavy DM





- H of intermediate mass can constitute 100% of DM
- H constituting 100% DM inconsistent with $R_{\gamma\gamma} > 1$

• For heavy DM $R_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 1$ only very small deviations allowed

D. Sokołowska, EPS HEP 2013

Very heavy DM



New (Planck)

[Planck update: D. Sokołowska, P. Swaczyna, 2014]

$h \rightarrow HH$ open



- light DM $(M_H < 10 \text{ GeV})$ \Rightarrow excluded
- intermediate DM 1 (50 GeV $< M_H < M_H/2$) $\Rightarrow M_H > 53$ GeV
- intermediate DM 2 $(M_h/2 < M_H \lesssim 82 \,\text{GeV})$ $\Rightarrow R_{\gamma\gamma} < 1$

• heavy DM $(M_H > 500 \text{ GeV})$ $\Rightarrow R_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 1$

Direct detection – comparison with LHC, Xenon 100 and LUX

H

h

- DM-nucleon scattering cross section $\sigma_{\text{DM,N}} \sim \lambda_{345}^2$
- $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ bounds on λ_{345} translated to $(M_H, \sigma_{DM,N})$ plane



... stronger than the dedicated DM experiments

Evolution of the Universe in 2HDMthrough different vacua in the past

Ginzburg, Ivanov, Kanishev 2009 Ginzburg, Kanishev,MK, Sokołowska PRD 2010, Sokołowska 2011

We consider 2HDM with an explicit D symmetry assuming that today the Inert Doublet Model describes reality. In the simplest approximation only *mass terms* in V vary with temperature like T², while λ 's are fixed

Various evolution from EWs to Inert phase possible in one, two or three steps, with 1st or 2nd order phase transitions...



Conclusion

Inert Doublet Model with *SM-like h:* mass of H+ below 160 (130) GeV if Rγγ >1.2 (1.3) and DM heavier than 62.5 GeV (and lighter than H+) Various scenarios of evolution to Inert phase I1

$$EWs \xrightarrow{II} \begin{cases} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_2 \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{II} M \\ \xrightarrow{I} I_1 \\ \xrightarrow{\cup} I_1 \end{pmatrix} I_1$$

Ch breaking in the past?-excluded if DM neutral DM matter may appear later (only in 11)

Going beyond T² approximation – strong first order phase transition (\rightarrow baryogenesis) DM ~65 GeV H+ and A with mass 275 -380 GeV, hHH $|\lambda_{345}| < 0.1$

Are we approaching a new era? Spring 2015 at LHC

Higgs data -> Dark Matter

Dedicated DM analysis at LHC

DM production at LHC

LHC at 8 TeV

P. Swaczyna MSc, May 2013



SM background WW,ZZ, tt

Pythia, 2HDMC



M_H+M_A< 145 GeV M_A>100 GeV



Transitions to the Inert phase beyond T2 corrections

We applied one-loop effective potential at T=0 (Coleman-Wienberg term) and temperature dependent effective potential at T \neq 0 (with sum of ring diagrams)

$$V_T^{(1L)}(v_1, v_2) = V_{\text{eff}}^{(1L)}(v_1, v_2) + \Delta^{(1L)} V_{T \neq 0}(v_1, v_2).$$

Can in IDM strong first -order phase transition – needed for baryogenesis ?

Gil, Chankowski, Krawczyk: Inert Dark Matter and Strong Electroweak Phase Transition, Phys.Lett. B717,396-402

Results for v(T_{EW})/T_{EW} Mh=125 GeV, MH=65 GeV, λ2=0.2

strong lst order phase transition if ratio > 1



XENON100 bound

Allowed MH+=MA between 275 and 380 GeV (one step)

۸₃₄₅

Evolution of vacua on phase diagram (μ_1, μ_2)





T2 corrections
 → rays from EWs phase to Inert phase one, two or three stages of Universe (II order phase transitions, one I order)

stability condition



Nonrestoration of EW symmetry: R <0





Charged breaking phase

Only one ray with EW restoration in the past (in one step and $R_{yy} > 1!$)

Extrema -> vacua

(v=246 GeV)

$$\begin{split} \text{EWs}: \quad v_{D} &= 0, \quad v_{S} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{E}_{EWs} = 0; \\ \text{I}_{1}: \quad v_{D} &= 0, \quad v_{S}^{2} = v^{2} = \frac{m_{11}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{I_{1}} = -\frac{m_{11}^{4}}{8\lambda_{1}}; \\ \text{I}_{2}: \quad v_{S} &= 0, \quad v_{D}^{2} = v^{2} = \frac{m_{22}^{2}}{\lambda_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{I_{2}} = -\frac{m_{22}^{4}}{8\lambda_{2}}; \\ & v_{S}^{2} = \frac{m_{11}^{2}\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{345}m_{22}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{345}^{2}}, \quad v_{D}^{2} = \frac{m_{22}^{2}\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{345}m_{11}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{345}^{2}}; \\ \text{M}: \qquad & \mathcal{E}_{M} = -\frac{m_{11}^{4}\lambda_{2} - 2\lambda_{345}m_{11}^{2}m_{22}^{2} + m_{22}^{4}\lambda_{1}}{8(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{345}^{2})}. \end{split} \\ \mathcal{E}_{I_{1}} - \mathcal{E}_{M} &= \frac{(m_{11}^{2}\lambda_{345} - m_{22}^{2}\lambda_{1})^{2}}{8\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{2}(1 - R^{2})}; \\ \text{CB}: \qquad & \mathcal{E}_{CB} &= -\frac{m_{11}^{4}\lambda_{2} - 2\lambda_{3}m_{11}^{2}m_{22}^{2} + m_{22}^{4}\lambda_{1}}{8(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}^{2})}. \end{split}$$

D-symmetric potential - vacua Stable vacuum (positivity) $\lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5 > -X, X = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} > 0$



$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &> 0 \,, \quad \lambda_2 > 0, \quad R+1 > 0, \quad R_3+1 > 0 \\ \lambda_{345} &= \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5, \quad R = \lambda_{345}/\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \quad R_3 = \lambda_3/\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}. \end{split}$$

 $Y = M_{H^+}^2 2/v^2 |_{Inert}$

Neutral vacua

- <u>Mixed</u> M $[v_s \text{ and } v_p \neq 0]$
- <u>Inert</u> I1 (I2) $[v_s \text{ or } v_p \neq 0]$
- Charged breaking vacuum CB

Inert overlaps both with Mixed and CB !